Existence and Ulam stability for nonlinear implicit differential equations with Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative

Abstract: In this paper, we establish the existence and uniqueness of solutions for a class of initial value problem for nonlinear implicit fractional differential equations with Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, also, the stability of this class of problem. The arguments are based upon the Banach contraction principle and Schaefer’s fixed point theorem. An example is included to show the applicability of our results.
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1 Introduction

Fractional differential equations have recently proved to be useful tools in the modeling of many physical phenomena. It draws a great application in nonlinear oscillations of earthquakes, many physical phenomena such as seepage ow in porous media and in fluid dynamic traffic model. for more details on fractional calculus theory, one can see [1–7].

Recently, by means of different tools such as the Banach contract principle, Schauder’s fixed point, Schaefer’s fixed point, the Leray–Schauder nonlinear alternative, Monch fixed point and the measure of non-compactness, initial and boundary value problems for implicit fractional differential equations involving Caputo type fractional derivatives have extensively been studied in the books [8–12] and the papers [13–22].

In [6, 7] the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the initial value problem

\[ D_0^\alpha u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad \text{for each}, \quad t > 0, \]

\[ D_0^{\alpha-1} u(0^+) = u_0, \]

was obtained under the assumption that \( f : (0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is Lipchitz continuous, by using the Banach contraction mapping principle. In [23] by using the lower and upper solution method, the authors proved the
existence of iterative solutions for a class of fractional initial value problem with non-monotone term

$$D_0^\alpha u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \text{ for each, } t \in (0, h), \ 0 < h < +\infty,$$

$$t^{1-\alpha}u(t)|_{t=0} = u_0 \neq 0.$$  

Motivated by the work above, we focus our attention on the more general problem:

$$D_0^\alpha y(t) = f(t, y(t), D_0^\alpha y(t)), \text{ for each, } t \in (0, T],$$  

$$t^{1-\alpha}y(t)|_{t=0} = y_0 \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $D_0^\alpha$ is the standard Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative, $f: (0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $0 < \alpha < 1$.

In the literature, several different definitions of fractional integrals and derivatives are present. Some of them such as the Riemann-Liouville integral, the Caputo and the Riemann-Liouville derivatives are thoroughly studied and actually used in applied models. Hilfer has introduced a generalized form of the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. In short, Hilfer fractional derivative $D_0^{\alpha, \beta}$ is an interpolation between the Riemann-Liouville and Caputo fractional derivatives.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some notations are introduced and we recall some concepts of preliminaries about fractional calculus and auxiliary results. In Section 3, two results for the problem (1)-(2) are presented: the first one is based on the Banach contraction principle, the second one on Schaefer’s fixed point theorem. In Section 4, we present Ulam-Hyers stability result for the problem (1)-(2). Finally, in the last Section, we give an example to illustrate the applicability of our main results.

### 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce notations, definitions, and preliminary facts which are used throughout this paper. Let $0 < T, J = [0, T]$. By $C(J, \mathbb{R})$ we denote the Banach space of all continuous functions from $J$ into $\mathbb{R}$ with the norm

$$\|y\| = \sup\{|y(t)| : t \in J\}.$$  

And $L^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ is the space of Lebesgue-integrable functions $w: J \to \mathbb{R}$ with the norm

$$\|w\|_1 = \int_0^T |w(s)| \, ds.$$  

$AC^n(J) = \{h: J \to \mathbb{R} : h, h', \ldots, h^{(n-1)} \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and } h^{(n-1)} \text{ is absolutely continuous.}\}$

In what follows $\gamma > 0$.

We consider the weighted space of continuous functions

$$C_\gamma(J) = \{y : (0, T] \to \mathbb{R} : t^\gamma y(t) \in C(J, \mathbb{R})\},$$

with the norm

$$\|y\|_{C_\gamma} = \sup_{t \in J} |t^\gamma y(t)|.$$  

Clearly $C_\gamma(J)$ is a Banach space.
Definition 2.1. [6, 24] The fractional (arbitrary) order integral of the function \( h \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \) of order \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) is defined by

\[
I_0^\alpha h(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) \, ds,
\]

where \( \Gamma(\cdot) \) is the Euler gamma function defined by \( \Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} \, dt, \alpha > 0 \).

Lemma 2.2. [25] For \( t > 0 \), we have

\[
\left[ I_0^\alpha t^{\beta-1} \right](t) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} t^{\beta-1}, \quad \alpha \geq 0, \quad \beta > 0,
\]

\[
\left[ D_0^\alpha t^{\alpha-1} \right](t) = 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.
\]

Definition 2.3. [6, 24] For a function \( h \in AC^n(t) \), the Riemann–Liouville fractional order derivative of order \( \alpha \) of \( h \), is defined by

\[
D_0^\alpha h(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} h(s) \, ds,
\]

where \( n = [\alpha] + 1 \) and \([\alpha]\) denotes the integer part of the real number \( \alpha \).

We state the following generalization of Gronwall’s Lemma for singular kernels.

Lemma 2.4. [26] Let \( \beta > 0 \), \( \tilde{\alpha}(t) \) be nonnegative function locally integrable on \([a, b]\) and \( \tilde{g}(t) \) is a nonnegative, nondecreasing continuous function defined on \( \tilde{g}(t) < M, \ t \in [a, b], \) and suppose \( y(t) \) is nonnegative and locally integrable on \([a, b]\) with

\[
y(t) \leq \tilde{\alpha}(t) + \tilde{g}(t) \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} y(s) \, ds, \quad t \in [a, b].
\]

Then

\[
y(t) \leq \tilde{\alpha}(t) + \int_0^t \left[ \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(\tilde{g}(t)\Gamma(\beta))^n}{\Gamma(n\beta)} (t-s)^{n\beta-1} \tilde{\alpha}(s) \right] ds, \quad \text{for every} \quad t \in [a, b].
\]

Corollary 2.5. [26] Under the hypotheses of Lemma 2.4, assume further that \( \tilde{\alpha}(t) \) is a nondecreasing function for \( t \in [a, b] \). Then

\[
y(t) \leq \tilde{\alpha}(t) E_{\beta} \left( \tilde{g}(t)\Gamma(\beta) t^{\beta} \right), \quad t \in [a, b],
\]

where \( E_{\beta}(\cdot) \) is the one parameter Mittag-Leffler function.

For the implicit fractional-order differential equation (1), we adopt the definition in Rus [27] for Ulam-Hyers stability, generalized Ulam-Hyers stability, Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability and generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability.

Definition 2.6. The equation (1) is Ulam–Hyers stable if there exists a real number \( c_f > 0 \) such that for each \( \epsilon > 0 \) and for each solution \( z \in C_1(a) \) of the inequality

\[
|D_0^\alpha z(t) - f(t, z(t), D_0^\alpha z(t))| \leq \epsilon, \quad t \in J,
\]

there exists a solution \( y \in C_1(a) \) of equation (1) with

\[
|z(t) - y(t)| \leq c_f \epsilon, \quad t \in J.
\]
Definition 2.7. The equation (1) is generalized Ulam–Hyers stable if there exists \( \psi_f \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+) \), \( \psi_f(0) = 0 \), such that for each solution \( z \in C_{1-a}(J) \) of the inequality
\[
|D_0^a z(t) - f(t, z(t), D_0^a z(t))| \leq \epsilon, \quad t \in J,
\]
there exists a solution \( y \in C_{1-a}(J) \) of the equation (1) with
\[
|z(t) - y(t)| \leq \psi_f(\epsilon), \quad t \in J.
\]

Definition 2.8. The equation (1) is Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable with respect to \( \phi \in C(J, \mathbb{R}_+) \) if there exists a real number \( c_f > 0 \) such that for each \( \epsilon > 0 \) and for each solution \( z \in C_{1-a}(J) \) of the inequality
\[
|D_0^a z(t) - f(t, z(t), D_0^a z(t))| \leq \epsilon \phi(t), \quad t \in J,
\]
there exists a solution \( y \in C_{1-a}(J) \) of equation (1) with
\[
|z(t) - y(t)| \leq c_f \epsilon \phi(t), \quad t \in J.
\]

Definition 2.9. The equation (1) is generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable with respect to \( \phi \in C(J, \mathbb{R}_+) \) if there exists a real number \( c_{f, \phi} > 0 \) such that for each solution \( z \in C_{1-a}(J) \) of the inequality
\[
|D_0^a z(t) - f(t, z(t), D_0^a z(t))| \leq \phi(t), \quad t \in J,
\]
there exists a solution \( y \in C_{1-a}(J) \) of equation (1) with
\[
|z(t) - y(t)| \leq c_{f, \phi} \phi(t), \quad t \in J.
\]

Remark 2.10. It is clear that:

i) Definition 2.6 \( \Rightarrow \) Definition 2.7

ii) Definition 2.8 \( \Rightarrow \) Definition 2.9

iii) Definition 2.8 for \( (\phi(t) = \psi = 1) \Rightarrow \) Definition 2.6.

Remark 2.11. A function \( z \in C_{1-a}(J) \) is a solution of the inequality (4) if and only if there is \( \sigma \in C_{1-a}(J) \) (which depends on \( z \)) such that

i) \( |\sigma(t)| \leq c \phi(t), \quad t \in J \)

ii) \( D_0^a z(t) = f(t, z(t), D_0^a z(t)) + \sigma(t), \quad t \in J \).

One can have similar remarks for inequalities (5) and (3).

Theorem 2.12. [28] (Theorem of Ascoli-Arzelà) Let \( A \subset C_{1-a}(J, \mathbb{R}) \). \( A \) is relatively compact (i.e \( \overline{A} \) is compact) if:

1. \( A \) is uniformly bounded i.e, there exists \( M > 0 \) such that
   \[ |f(x)| < M \ \text{for every} \ f \in A \ \text{and} \ x \in J. \]
2. \( A \) is equicontinuous i.e, for every \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that for each \( x, \xi \in J \), \( |x - \xi| \leq \delta \) implies
   \[ |f(x) - f(\xi)| \leq \epsilon, \ \text{for every} \ f \in A. \]

Theorem 2.13. [29] (Banach’s fixed point theorem) Let \( C \) be a non-empty closed subset of a Banach space \( E \), then any contraction mapping \( T \) of \( C \) into itself has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 2.14. [29] (Schaefer’s fixed point theorem) Let \( E \) be a Banach space, and \( N : E \rightarrow E \) completely continuous operator. If the set \( E = \{ y \in E : y = \lambda Ny, \ \text{for some} \ \lambda \in (0, 1) \} \) is bounded, then \( N \) has at least one fixed point.
3 Existence of solutions

To prove the existence of solutions to (1)-(2), we need the following auxiliary Lemma.


\[
\begin{aligned}
D_0^\alpha y(t) + \lambda y(t) &= q(t), \quad \text{for each, } t > 0, \\
\lambda y(0) &= y_0,
\end{aligned}
\]

(6)

where \( \lambda > 0 \) is a constant and \( q \in L(0, T) \), has the following integral representation for a solution

\[
y(t) = \Gamma(\alpha)y_0 t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda(t-s)^\alpha)q(s)ds,
\]

where \( E_{\alpha,\alpha}(t) \) is the two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function. In particular, when \( \lambda = 0 \), then the initial value problem (6) has a unique solution defined by

\[
y(t) = y_0 t^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} q(s)ds.
\]

Lemma 3.2. [23] For \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \); the Mittag–Leffler type function \( E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha) \) satisfies

\[
0 \leq E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad t \in [0, \infty), \quad \lambda \geq 0,
\]

and

\[
\lim_{t \to 0} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha) = E_{\alpha,\alpha}(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}.
\]

The following assumptions will be used in our main results:

(H1) There exist constants \( K > 0 \) and \( 0 < L < 1 \) such that

\[
|f(t, u, v) - f(t, \bar{u}, \bar{v})| \leq K|u - \bar{u}| + L|v - \bar{v}|
\]

for any \( u, v, \bar{u}, \bar{v} \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( t \in (0, T] \).

Theorem 3.3. Suppose (H1) holds. The function \( y \) solves problem (1)-(2) if and only if it is a fixed-point of the operator \( N : C_{1-\alpha}(J) \to C_{1-\alpha}(J) \) defined by

\[
Ny(t) = y_0 t^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s)ds, \quad t \in (0, T],
\]

(7)

where \( g : (0, T) \to \mathbb{R} \) be a function satisfying the functional equation

\[
g(t) = f(t, y(t), g(t)).
\]

Proof. Firstly, we need to show that the operator \( N \) is well defined, i.e, for every \( y \in C_{1-\alpha}(J) \) and \( t > 0 \); the integral

\[
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s)ds,
\]

belongs to \( C_{1-\alpha}(J) \). Under condition (H1),

\[
|g(t)| \leq \frac{K}{1-L} |y(t)| + C, \quad \text{for each, } t \in J,
\]
where

\[ C = \sup_{t \in J} |f(t, 0, 0)| \frac{1}{1 - L}. \]

By Lemma 3.2, for \( y \in C_{1-a}(J) \), we have

\[ \left| \frac{t^{1-a}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} g(s) ds \right| \leq \frac{t^{1-a}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} |g(s)| ds \]
\[ \leq \frac{t^{1-a}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} \left[ \frac{K}{1-L} |y(s)| + C \right] ds \]
\[ \leq \frac{t^{1-a}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} s^{a-1} \left[ \frac{K}{1-L} s^{1-a} |y(s)| ds + \frac{t^{1-a} T^a C}{a \Gamma(a)} \right] \]
\[ \leq t^{1-a} \|y\|_{C_{1-a}} \frac{K}{1-L} \int_0^a (t^{a-1}) + \frac{t^{1-a} T^a C}{\Gamma(a+1)}. \]

By Lemma 2.2, we have

\[ \left| \frac{t^{1-a}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} g(s) ds \right| \leq K t^{a} \|y\|_{C_{1-a}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(2a)(1-L)} + \frac{TC}{\Gamma(a+1)} \]
\[ \leq K t^{a} \|y\|_{C_{1-a}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(2a)(1-L)} + \frac{TC}{\Gamma(a+1)}. \]

That is to say that the integral exists and belongs to \( C_{1-a}(J) \).

The above inequality implies that

\[ \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{t^{1-a}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} g(s) ds = 0. \]

Combining with \( \lim_{t \to 0} t^{1-a} (Ny)(t) = y_0 \). The above arguments combined with Lemma 3.1, imply that the fixed-point of the operator \( N \) solves (1)-(2) and vice versa. The proof is complete.

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose (H1) holds. If

\[ \frac{K t^{a} \|y\|_{C_{1-a}}}{\Gamma(2a)(1-L)} < 1, \]  
\[ \text{(8)} \]

then, there exists a unique solution for the problem (1)-(2) in the space \( C_{1-a}(J) \).

**Proof.** Let \( u, w \in C_{1-a}(J) \). Then for \( t \in (0, T) \), we have

\[ |Nu(t) - Nw(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} |g(s) - h(s)| ds, \]

where \( g, h \in C_{1-a}(J) \) be such that

\[ g(t) = f(t, u(t), g(t)), \]

and

\[ h(t) = f(t, w(t), h(t)). \]

By (H1) we have

\[ |g(t) - h(t)| = |f(t, u(t), g(t)) - f(t, w(t), h(t))| \]
By (8), the operator

\[ g(t) - h(t) \leq \frac{K}{1-L} |u(t) - w(t)|. \]

Then

\[ |g(t) - h(t)| \leq \frac{K}{1-L} |u(t) - w(t)|. \]

Therefore, for each \( t \in (0, T) \)

\[ |Nu(t) - NW(t)| \leq \frac{K}{\Gamma(a)(1-L)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} |u(s) - w(s)|ds \]

\[ = \frac{K}{\Gamma(a)(1-L)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} s^{a-1} |s^{1-a}(u(s) - w(s))|ds \]

\[ \leq \frac{K}{1-L} \Gamma_0(\Gamma(a)(a-1))|u - w|_{C_{1-a}}. \]

By Lemma 2.2, we have

\[ |Nu(t) - NW(t)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(a)Kt^{2a-1}}{\Gamma(2a)(1-L)} |u - w|_{C_{1-a}}, \]

which implies that

\[ |t^{1-a}(Nu(t) - NW(t))| \leq \frac{\Gamma(a)Kt^a}{\Gamma(2a)(1-L)} |u - w|_{C_{1-a}} \]

\[ \leq \frac{\Gamma(a)Kt^a}{\Gamma(2a)(1-L)} |u - w|_{C_{1-a}}. \]

Thus

\[ ||Nu - NW||_{C_{1-a}} \leq \frac{\Gamma(a)KT^a}{\Gamma(2a)(1-L)} |u - w|_{C_{1-a}}. \]

By (8), the operator \( T \) is a contraction. Hence, by Banach’s contraction principle, \( N \) has a unique fixed point which is a unique solution of the problem (1)-(2).

Our second result is based on Schaefer’s fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 3.5.** Assume (H1) and

(H2) There exist \( p, q, r \in C(J, \mathbb{R}_+) \) with \( r' = \sup_{t \in J} r(t) < 1 \) such that

\[ |f(t, u, w)| \leq p(t) + q(t)|u| + r(t)|w| \quad \text{for} \quad t \in J \quad \text{and} \quad u, w \in \mathbb{R}. \]

If

\[ \frac{q^* \Gamma(a)T^a}{\Gamma(2a)(1-r')} < 1, \quad (9) \]

where \( q^* = \sup_{t \in J} q(t) \) then the problem (1)-(2) has at least one solution in the space \( C_{1-a}(J) \).

**Proof.** Let the operator \( N \) defined in (7). We shall use Schaefer’s fixed point theorem to prove that \( N \) has a fixed point. The proof will be given in several steps.

**Step 1:** \( N \) is continuous. Let \( (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a sequence such that \( y_n \to y \) in \( C_{1-a}(J) \), then for each \( t \in (0, T) \), we have

\[ |Ny_n(t) - Ny(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} |g_n(s) - g(s)|ds \quad (10) \]

where \( g_n, g \in C_{1-a}(J) \) be such that

\[ g_n(t) = f(t, y_n(t), g_n(t)). \]
and
\[ g(t) = f(t, y(t), g(t)). \]

By (H1) we have
\[
|g_n(t) - g(t)| = |f(t, y_n(t), g_n(t)) - f(t, y(t), g(t))| \\
\leq K|y_n(t) - y(t)| + L|g_n(t) - g(t)|.
\]

Then
\[
|g_n(t) - g(t)| \leq \frac{K}{1 - L}|y_n(t) - y(t)|. \tag{11}
\]

By replacing (11) in inequality (10), we find
\[
|N_y(t) - N(t)| \leq \frac{K}{\Gamma(a)(1 - L)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{a-1}|y_n(s) - y(s)|\,ds \\
= \frac{K}{\Gamma(a)(1 - L)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{a-1}s^{a-1}|s^{1-a}(y_n(s) - y(s))|\,ds \\
\leq \frac{K}{1 - L} \int_0^t (t - s)^{a-1}|y_n(s) - y(s)|\,ds.
\]

By Lemma 2.2, we have
\[
|N_y(t) - N(t)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(a)K t^{2a-1}}{\Gamma(2a)(1 - L)} \|y_n - y\|_{C_{1-a}},
\]
which implies that
\[
|t^{1-a}(N_y(t) - N(t))| \leq \frac{\Gamma(a)K t^a}{\Gamma(2a)(1 - L)} \|y_n - y\|_{C_{1-a}} \\
\leq \frac{\Gamma(a)K T^a}{\Gamma(2a)(1 - L)} \|y_n - y\|_{C_{1-a}}.
\]

Thus
\[
|t^{1-a}(N_y(t) - N(t))| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\]
and hence
\[
\|N_y - N\|_{C_{1-a}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\]

Consequently, \( N \) is continuous.

**Step 2:** \( N \) maps bounded sets into bounded sets in \( C_{1-a} \). Indeed, it is enough to show that for any \( \eta^* > 0 \), there exists a positive constant \( \ell \) such that for each
\[
y \in B_{\eta^*} = \{ y \in C_{1-a}(f) : \|y\|_{C_{1-a}} \leq \eta^* \},
\]
we have \( \|N_y\|_{C_{1-a}} \leq \ell \). For each \( t \in (0, T) \), we have
\[
N_y(t) = y_0 t^{a-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{a-1} g(s)\,ds, \tag{12}
\]
where \( g \in C_{1-a}(f) \) be such that
\[ g(t) = f(t, y(t), g(t)). \]

By (H2), we have for each \( t \in (0, T) \),
\[
|g(t)| = |f(t, y(t), g(t))| \leq p(t) + q(t)|y(t)| + r(t)|g(t)|.
\]
Hence, we get
\[
|t^{1-a}g(t)| \leq t^{1-a}p(t) + q(t)|t^{1-a}y(t)| + r(t)|t^{1-a}g(t)|
\]
\[
\leq T^{1-a}p^* + q^* \eta^* + r^*|t^{1-a}g(t)|,
\]
where \(p^* = \sup\{p(t), t \in J\}\). Then, for each \(t \in (0, T]\), we have
\[
|t^{1-a}g(t)| \leq \frac{T^{1-a}p^* + q^* \eta^*}{1 - r^*} := M.
\]
Thus, (12) implies
\[
|Ny(t)| \leq t^{a-1}|y_0| + \frac{M}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} s^{a-1} ds
\]
\[
\leq t^{a-1}|y_0| + \frac{MT(\alpha)}{\Gamma(2\alpha)} t^{2a-1}.
\]
Therefore
\[
|t^{1-a}Ny(t)| \leq |y_0| + \frac{MT(\alpha)}{\Gamma(2\alpha)} t^{a}.
\]
Thus
\[
\|Ny\|_{C_{1-a}} \leq |y_0| + \frac{MT(\alpha)}{\Gamma(2\alpha)} t^{a} := \ell.
\]

**Step 3:** \(N\) maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets of \(C_{1-a}(J)\). Let \(0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq T, \ B_{\eta^*}\) be a bounded set of \(C_{1-a}(J)\) as in **Step 2**, and let \(y \in B_{\eta^*}\). Then
\[
|t^{1-a}_2Ny(t_2) - t^{1-a}_1Ny(t_1)| = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \left| \int_0^{t_2} t^{1-a}(t_2 - s)^{a-1} g(s) ds - \int_0^{t_1} t^{1-a}(t_1 - s)^{a-1} g(s) ds \right|
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \left| \int_0^{t_1} t^{1-a}(t_2 - s)^{a-1} s^{a-1} (s^{1-a}g(s)) ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} t^{1-a}(t_2 - s)^{a-1} s^{a-1} (s^{1-a}g(s)) ds \right|
\]
\[
- \int_0^{t_1} t^{1-a}(t_1 - s)^{a-1} s^{a-1} (s^{1-a}g(s)) ds \right|
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \left| \int_0^{t_2} t^{1-a}(t_2 - s)^{a-1} s^{a-1} - t^{1-a}_1(t_1 - s)^{a-1} s^{a-1} \right| |s^{1-a}g(s)| ds
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} t^{1-a}(t_2 - s)^{a-1} s^{a-1} |s^{1-a}g(s)| ds
\]
\[
\leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(a)} \left| \int_0^{t_2} t^{1-a}(t_2 - s)^{a-1} - t^{1-a}_1(t_1 - s)^{a-1} \right| s^{a-1} ds
\]
\[
+ \frac{M}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} t^{1-a}(t_2 - s)^{a-1} s^{a-1} ds.
\]

As \(t_1 \to t_2\), the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero. That is to say that \(T(B_{\eta^*})\) is equicontinuous. As a consequence of **Step 1** to **Step 3** together with the Ascoli–Arzela theorem, we can conclude that \(T : C_{1-a}(J) \to C_{1-a}(J)\) is completely continuous.

**Step 4:** A priori bounds. Now it remains to show that the set
\[
E = \{ y \in C_{1-a} : y = \lambda N(y) \text{ for some } 0 < \lambda < 1 \},
\]
is bounded. Let \( y \in E \), then \( y = \lambda Ny \) for some \( 0 < \lambda < 1 \). Thus, for each \( t \in (0, T] \), we have

\[
y(t) = \lambda y_0 t^{a-1} + \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} g(s) \, ds.
\]

(13)

And by (H2), we have for each \( t \in (0, T] \),

\[
|g(t)| \leq p(t) + q(t)|y(t)| + r(t)|g(t)|.
\]

Thus, we get

\[
|t^{1-a}g(t)| \leq t^{1-a}p(t) + q(t)|y(t)| + r(t)|t^{1-a}g(t)|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{T^{1-a}p^*}{1-r^*} + q^* \|y\|_{C_{1-a}} + r^*|t^{1-a}g(t)|,
\]

then

\[
|t^{1-a}g(t)| \leq \frac{T^{1-a}p^*}{1-r^*} + q^* \|y\|_{C_{1-a}}.
\]

This implies, by (13) and Lemma 2.2, that for each \( t \in (0; T) \) we have

\[
|y(t)| \leq |y_0|^{a-1} + \frac{T^{1-a}p^*}{(1-r^*)\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} s^{a-1} \, ds + \frac{q^* \|y\|_{C_{1-a}}}{(1-r^*)\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} \, ds
\]

\[
\leq |y_0|^{a-1} + \frac{T^{1-a}p^* \Gamma(a)}{(1-r^*)\Gamma(2a)} t^{2a-1} + \frac{q^* \Gamma(a)}{(1-r^*)\Gamma(2a)} t^{2a-1} \|y\|_{C_{1-a}}.
\]

Therefore

\[
|t^{1-a}y(t)| \leq |y_0| + \frac{Tp^* \Gamma(a)}{(1-r^*)\Gamma(2a)} + \frac{q^* T^{1-a} \Gamma(a)}{(1-r^*)\Gamma(2a)} \|y\|_{C_{1-a}}.
\]

Finally, by (9) we have

\[
\|y\|_{C_{1-a}} \leq \frac{(1-r^*)\Gamma(2a)|y_0| + Tp^* \Gamma(a)}{(1-r^*)\Gamma(2a) - q^* T^{1-a} \Gamma(a)}.
\]

This shows that the set \( E \) is bounded. As a consequence of Schaefer’s fixed point theorem, we deduce that \( N \) has at least a fixed point \( y^* \in C_{1-a}(J) \) which is a solution of the problem (1)-(2).

## 4 Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability

Now, we state the following Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable result.

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume (H1), (8) and

(H3) there exists a nondecreasing function \( \phi \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^+) \) and there exists \( \lambda_\phi > 0 \) such that for any \( t \in (0, T] \) :

\[
D_0^a \phi(t) \leq \lambda_\phi \phi(t)
\]

are satisfied, then, the equation (1) is Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable with respect to \( \phi \).

**Proof.** Let \( z \in C_{1-a}(J) \) be a solution of the inequality (4). Denote by \( y \) the unique solution of the initial value problem:

\[
D_0^a y(t) = f(t, y(t), D_0^a y(t)) \quad \text{for each} \quad t \in (0, T],
\]

\[
t^{1-a} y(t)|_{t=0} = y_0.
\]

Using Theorem 3.3, we obtain for each \( t \in (0, T] \)

\[
y(t) = t^{1-a} y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} g(s) \, ds,
\]
where \( g : (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a function satisfying the functional equation
\[
g(t) = f(t, y(t), g(t)).
\]

Since \( z \) solution of the inequality (4) and by Remark 2.11, we have
\[
D_0^\alpha z(t) = f(t, z(t), D_0^\alpha z(t)) + \sigma(t), \quad \text{for each } t \in (0, T],
\]
and by Remark 2.11, we get
\[
t^{1-\alpha}z(t)|_{t=0} = y_0.
\]

Clearly, the solution of the problem (14)–(15) is given by
\[
z(t) = t^{1-\alpha}y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1}(h(s) + \sigma(s))ds, \quad t \in (0, T],
\]
where \( h : (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a function satisfying the functional equation
\[
h(t) = f(t, z(t), h(t) + \sigma(t)).
\]

Hence for each \( t \in (0, T] \), it follows that
\[
|z(t) - y(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} |\sigma(s)|ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} |h(s) - g(s)|ds
\]
\[
\leq \frac{c}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} \phi(s)ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} |h(s) - g(s)|ds
\]
\[
\leq \frac{c\Lambda \phi(t)}{\Gamma(a)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} |h(s) - g(s)|ds,
\]
where \( g, h \in C_{1-a}(I) \) such that
\[
g(t) = f(t, y(t), g(t)),
\]
\[
h(t) = f(t, z(t), h(t) + \sigma(t)).
\]

By (H1), we have
\[
|h(t) - g(t)| = |f(t, z(t), h(t) + \sigma(t)) - f(t, z(t), g(t))| \leq K|z(t) - y(t)| + L|h(t) - g(t)| + L|\sigma(t)|.
\]

Then,
\[
|h(t) - g(t)| \leq \frac{K}{1-L}|z(t) - y(t)| + \frac{L}{1-L}|\sigma(t)|.
\]

Therefore, for each \( t \in (0, T] \)
\[
|z(t) - y(t)| \leq \frac{c\Lambda \phi(t)}{1-L} + \frac{K}{(1-L)\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1}|z(s) - y(s)|ds.
\]

Applying Corollary 2.5, we get
\[
|z(t) - y(t)| \leq \frac{c\Lambda \phi(t)}{1-L} E_a \left( \frac{Kt^a}{1-L} \right) \leq \frac{c\Lambda \phi(t)}{1-L} E_a \left( \frac{KT^a}{1-L} \right) = cE \phi(t),
\]
where
\[ c_\phi = \frac{\lambda_\phi}{1-L} E_a \left( \frac{KT^a}{1-L} \right). \]

Thus, the equation (1) is Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable with respect to \( \phi \). The proof is complete.

Next, we present the following Ulam–Hyers stable result.

**Theorem 4.2.** Assume that (H1) and (8) are satisfied, then, the equation (1) is Ulam–Hyers stable.

**Proof.** Let \( z \in C_{1-a}(J) \) be a solution of the inequality (3). Denote by \( y \) the unique solution of the initial value problem:
\[
D_{0+}^{\alpha} y(t) = f \left( t, y(t), D_{0+}^{\alpha} y(t) \right), \text{ for each } t \in (0, T],
\]
\[
t^{1-a} y(t) \big|_{t=0} = y_0.
\]

By the same way of the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can easily show that
\[
|z(t) - y(t)| \leq \frac{1}{T(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |\sigma(s)| \, ds + \frac{1}{T(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |h(s) - g(s)| \, ds
\]
\[
\leq \frac{e}{T(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \, ds + \frac{1}{T(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |h(s) - g(s)| \, ds
\]
\[
\leq \frac{eT^a}{T(\alpha + 1) + 1} + \frac{1}{T(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |z(s) - y(s)| \, ds.
\]

Applying Lemma 2.4, we get
\[
|z(t) - y(t)| \leq \frac{eT^a}{T(\alpha + 1)(1-L)} \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{K}{T(n\alpha + 1)} \right)^n \right]
\]
\[
\leq \frac{eT^a}{T(\alpha + 1)(1-L)} \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{KT^a}{1-L} \right)^n \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{eT^a}{T(\alpha + 1)(1-L)} E_a \left( \frac{KT^a}{1-L} \right) = c e,
\]

which completes the proof of the theorem.

Moreover, if we set \( \psi_f(e) = c e; \psi_f(0) = 0 \), then, the equation (1) is generalized Ulam–Hyers stable.

### 5 An example

Consider the following initial value problem
\[
D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}} y(t) = \frac{1}{10e^{-t^2}} \left( 1 + |y(t)| + \left| D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}} y(t) \right| \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \text{ for each } t \in (0, 1]
\]
Existence and Ulam stability for nonlinear implicit differential equations

\[ t^{\frac{1}{2}} y(t) \big|_{t=0} = \frac{3}{2}. \]  

(17)

Set

\[ f(t, u, v) = \frac{1}{10e^{-t^2}(1 + |u| + |v|)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}. \]

We have

\[ C_{1-\alpha}([0, 1]) = C_{\frac{3}{2}}([0, 1]) = \left\{ h : (0, 1) \to \mathbb{R} : t^{\frac{3}{2}} h \in C([0, 1]) \right\}, \]

with \( \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \). Clearly, the function \( f \in C_{\frac{3}{2}}([0, 1]) \).

For each \( u, \bar{u}, v, \bar{v} \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( t \in (0, 1) \), we have

\[ |f(t, u, v) - f(t, \bar{u}, \bar{v})| \leq \frac{1}{10e}(|u - \bar{u}| + |v - \bar{v}|). \]

Hence, condition (H1) is satisfied with \( K = L = \frac{1}{10e} \).

The condition

\[ \frac{KT^{\alpha} \Gamma(\gamma)}{(1 - L)\Gamma(2\alpha)} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{10e - 1} < 1, \]

is satisfied with \( T = 1 \). It follows from Theorem 3.5 that the problem (16)-(17) has a unique solution in the space \( C_{\frac{3}{2}}([0, 1]) \). Moreover, Theorem 4.2 implies that the equation (16) is Ulam–Hyers stable.
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