





Citation: Lago-Ballesteros J, Martins J, González-Valeiro MÁ, Fernández-Villarino MA (2019)
Parental assessment of physical education in the school curriculum: A brief report on the influence of past experiences as students. PLoS ONE 14(7): e0219544. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219544

**Editor:** Conor Gilligan, University of Newcastle, AUSTRALIA

Received: October 10, 2018

Accepted: June 26, 2019

Published: July 10, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Lago-Ballesteros et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: The present study was supported by the Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness of Spain with the project: "Diseño y desarrollo de un software para el análisis del rendimiento en fútbol" (DEP2016-75785-R). The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Parental assessment of physical education in the school curriculum: A brief report on the influence of past experiences as students

Joaquín Lago-Ballesteros 61, João Martins 2,3, Miguel Ángel González-Valeiro 4, María A. Fernández-Villarino 65\*

- 1 Faculty of Teacher Training, University of Santiago de Compostela, Lugo, Spain, 2 Pedagogy Laboratory, Faculty of Human Kinetics and Unit for Research and Development in Education and Training, Institute of Education, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal, 3 Faculty of Physical Education and Sport, Universidade Lusófona, Lisbon, Portugal, 4 Faculty of Sport Sciences and Physical Education, University of Coruña, A Coruña, Spain, 5 Faculty of Education and Sport Sciences, University of Vigo, Pontevedra, Spain
- \* marianfv@uvigo.es

## **Abstract**

The aim of this study was to analyse the relationship between parents' past experience as Physical Education (PE) students and the importance they give to PE within the school curriculum. Parents of 1834 teenagers from Spain and Portugal participated in the study (1834 fathers and 1834 mothers). An 11 item questionnaire was used for data collection. The measures studied were: socio-demographic characteristics, parent's past experience as PE students, and importance that parents gave to PE in the school curriculum. The results suggest that parents' past experiences as PE student condition their evaluation of the importance that PE should have in the school curriculum. As the past experience as PE student deteriorated and as age increased, there was an increase in the probability that parents evaluate PE as deserving a less important status in their children's curriculum. These findings can contribute to understanding how the parents' past experiences as PE students seem to partially model the value judgements that they make later in life regarding the importance of the subject.

#### Introduction

Global increasing rates of overweight and obesity in children and adolescent population are considered, now and for the future, among the main threats to individuals and communities [1,2]. The relationship of these conditions with cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes is well established so their growing prevalence leads to an earlier appearance of these dangerous comorbidities [3], posing a major risk to public health [4] and turning overweight and obesity prevention an imperative.

The etiology of overweight and obesity during childhood and adolescence is multifaceted [5,6], and some of their major determinants are behavioral [7]. Observational studies have shown the association between inadequate dietary habits [8], sedentary behaviors [9] and low physical activity [10] with overweight and obesity in youth. These influences, as behavioral, are



**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

potentially modifiable [7] by means of health education programs [11]. In this regard, ecological models have been used to develop comprehensive intervention approaches predominantly at schools [12]. Schools are considered not only as logical sites [13,14] but the ideal place [15] to carry on health education.

When considering the promotion of physical activity at schools, the Comprehensive School Physical Activity Program (CSPAP) conceptual framework has emerged [16] and been rapidly consolidated in research and practice [17]. Physical education is incorporated in this framework as an essential pillar with the goal of providing rich learning opportunities to all students so they acquire the knowledge (i.e., understanding), skills (i.e., competencies), and dispositions (i.e., attitudes, values, self-efficacy beliefs) needed to adopt physically active lifestyles at youth stages and sustain them throughout life [18]. This goal can only be achieved if sufficient instruction is provided but, unfortunately, physical education is only allocated 2-3 teaching hours per week in the secondary education curricula in Spain and Portugal [19]. This time allocation does not meet international established standards for quality physical education at middle and secondary school, as it has been recommended a total of 225 minutes/week [20]. Physical education is compulsory for all students in both countries but, when comparing the share of total teaching time allocated to physical education and that earmarked for other subjects (e.g., mathematics, languages), a lower status of physical education is revealed [21]. However, with regard to pupil assessment, physical education is granted with equal legal status and the educational authorities of Spain and Portugal issue clear recommendations on assessment methods encompassing both formative and summative assessments [19]. Furthermore, physical education teachers have been attributed with a leadership role within the CSPAP framework, as the most logical person to spearhead efforts and coordinate PA promotion [22,23]. In the fulfillment of these responsibilities, PE teachers should involve all the relevant stakeholders in the educational community, and families are one of them. Parent's collaboration with PE efforts becomes crucial as they can play an important role in their children's engagement in PA [24-27].

Existing literature has indicated a number of mechanisms to explain the referred parental influence (i.e., genetics, direct modelling, behaviour reward and/or punishment, setting up or elimination of barriers, providing resources for behaviour development) [28–30]. When verifying some of these mechanisms, most research focused on the parental modelling of PA behaviour, resulting in inconclusive evidence [31-33]. According to other studies, the support provided by parents and their beliefs on PA have emerged as important predictors of student engagement in PA [31,33,34]. In this regard, there is evidence that parents are more likely to provide the appropriate support for their children's PA when they perceive PA as enjoyable and important [34,35]. From a PE pedagogy perspective, past research raised concerns that parents did not always perceive PE as valuable in PA promotion [36]. Gaining parents support has been also perceived as a key challenge by PE teachers to ensure consistency of messages between home and school [37]. Among the barriers that hinder adequate collaboration, a lack of parental knowledge and understanding of healthy lifestyle practices has been highlighted [37,38]. One other study [39] noted that a favourable attitude by parents towards PE is related to a higher level of PA in their children so it would be hypothesized that negative attitudes could also represent a barrier. Thus, the understanding of the processes that lead parents to establish their value judgements about PE is a crucial part of informing future work.

Considering this issue, previous studies have reported on the lasting negative impacts of adverse childhood PE experiences [40,41], but have been limited by methodological weaknesses such as intentional sampling or the use of specific populations. This study aims to analyse the relationship between parents' past experience as PE students with the importance they give to PE within the school curriculum.



## Materials and methods

## Sample

The sample consists of the parents of 1834 teenagers from Spain and Portugal. A total of 1834 fathers and 1834 mothers participated in the study, where 1037 were Portuguese and 797 were Spanish in both cases. For the selection of the participants an intentional non-probabilistic sampling was carried out where 32 secondary schools were chosen, located in urban areas: 6 in the area of Lisbon and 26 in the autonomous region of Galicia. In this selection, schools in different neighbourhoods were chosen to maximise the possibility of achieving a sample consisting of teenagers from different socio-economic levels. Once the target schools were established, the parents of the 3950 teenagers enrolled in them were offered anonymous and voluntary participation. Each teenager was given a large envelope to take home to their parents. Inside the envelopes were two questionnaires and two smaller envelopes (one of each for the father and another for the mother), an explanation sheet with the general aims of the research and the participation rules. After completing the questionnaires, the participants placed them inside the small envelope and sent them back to the school, where they were collected by the teachers and handed over to the research team. Only the envelopes containing the two questionnaires were considered for the study in an attempt to get a balanced distribution of mothers and fathers in the sample.

Prior to the participation in the study, permission was obtained from the directors of the schools. Parents' consent was given by completing the survey. The study was carried out in accordance with the ethical standards in sport and exercise science [42], and its performance was approved by Portugal's Faculty of Human Kinetics Council of Ethics and by the Portuguese Ministry of Education.

## Measures

**Socio-demographic data.** Information on the participants was collected in reference to the following demographic variables: sex, age, education level (elementary, secondary, or higher).

## Parents' PA

The parents were asked to report on the type of PA they normally engage in and to specify the frequency and duration of the activity [43,44]. After processing their responses, the participants were classified under the categories of "sufficiently active" or "insufficiently active", depending on whether they fulfilled the main recommendations of the internationally established practice of PA (at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity or at least 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity physical activity or an equivalent combination of both intensity levels of activity) [45].

## Past experience as PE students

The questionnaire submitted to the participants included an item where they had to evaluate their past experience as PE students. This item consisted of a likert scale with the following levels: (1) very bad; (2) bad (3); not bad or good; (4) good; (5) very good. When assessing their past experience as physical education students, parents were invited to consider the following elements: teacher's competence, personality and attitude, obtained benefits (e.g. motor learning, physical condition), social relationships in the classroom, materials, equipment and facilities condition, subject contents, subject and school organization, characteristics of the classes, and any other they judged important.



## Importance of PE in the school curriculum

Finally, the participants were asked to evaluate the importance of PE as a school subject, indicating the conditions under which, in their opinion, it should be included within the curriculum. To do so, they were asked to take a stance in favour of one of the following categories [39]: (1) it should be compulsory and assessable; (2) it should be compulsory but not assessable; (3) it should be elective; (4) it should not be included; (5) DK/Refused.

## Statistical analysis

The analysis of the data was carried out in three stages. First, a descriptive analysis was done for the socio-demographic and nationality identification of the overall sample.

Next, chi-square tests were performed to analyse the parental assessment of past experience as PE student, parents' support of PE and Parents' PA according to sex and nationality.

Last of all, a multivariate analysis (binary logistic regression), was performed to jointly explore the relationship of the different independent variables with the dependent variable. A hierarchical backward step-wise regression [46] analysis was used as a modelling strategy. For this analysis the dependent variable was collapsed into two categories (0 = the compulsory and assessable status that PE currently has within the curriculum must be maintained; 1 = PE must be granted a lesser status than the one it currently has within the school curriculum).

The level of statistical significance was set at p<0.05 and all the analyses were performed through version 22.0 of the statistical analysis software package SPSS (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp) for Windows.

## Results

Table 1 shows the socio-demographic characteristics of the parents participating in the study. The demographics of the cohort reflect those of the general population as results showed that mothers were, on average, approximately two years younger than fathers. When comparing parents' age as a function of nationality, no differences were observed. Regarding level of studies, a higher percentage of higher education studies was found for mothers (31.0%) than for

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of the parents participating in the study (N = 3668).

Characteristic		Father		Mother			
	Spanish	Portuguese	Total	Spanish	Portuguese	Total	
Age (years)	45.0 ± 7,5	44.4 ± 7.8	44.7 ± 7.7	42.8 ± 5.7	$42.0 \pm 6.6$	$42.4 \pm 6.2$	
n valid	794	1033	1827	789	1034	1823	
≤29 year	25 (3.1)	20 (1.9)	44 (2.4)	13 (1.6)	12 (1.2)	25 (1.4)	
30-39 year	93 (11.7)	225 (21.8)	318 (17.4)	170 (21.5)	358 (34.6)	528 (29.0)	
40-49 year	497 (62.7)	595 (57.6)	1092 (59.8)	530 (67.2)	560 (54.2)	1090 (59.8)	
50-59 year	162 (20.4)	156 (15.1)	318 (17.4)	74 (9.4)	87 (8.4)	161 (8.8)	
60-69 year	12 (1.5)	25 (2.4)	37 (2.0)	2 (0.3)	14 (1.4)	16 (0.9)	
≥70 year	5 (0.6)	12 (1.2)	17 (0.9)	0	3 (0.3)	3 (0.2)	
Education level							
n valid	695	1001	1696	705	1003	1708	
Elementary	268 (38.6)	534 (53.3)	802 (47.3)	243 (34.5)	493 (49.2)	736 (43.1)	
Secondary	157 (22.6)	249 (24.9)	406 (23.9)	167 (23.7)	276 (27.5)	443 (25.9)	
Higher	270 (38.8)	218 (21.8)	488 (28.8)	295 (41.8)	234 (23.3)	529 (31.0)	

Note. The values in brackets represent the percentage of valid cases.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219544.t001



Fatl	her	Mot	her	Spar	nish	Portug	guese
n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
1561		1597		1487		1671	
76	4.9	39	2.4	78	5.2	37	2.2
208	13.3	161	10.1	209	14.1	160	9.6
480	30.7	534	33.4	468	31.5	546	32.7
636	40.7	674	42.2	560	37.7	750	44.9
161	10.3	189	11.8	172	11.6	178	10.7
1811		1806		1572		2045	
39	2.2	40	2.2	28	1.8	51	2.5
17	0.9	10	0.6	9	0.6	18	0.9
90	5.0	89	4.9	75	4.8	104	5.1
656	36.2	650	36.0	583	37.1	723	35.4
1009	55.7	1017	56.3	877	55.8	1149	56.2
1834		1834		1594		2074	
654	35.7	711	38.8	728	45.7	637	30.7
	n 1561 76 208 480 636 161 1811 39 17 90 656 1009	1561	n         %         n           1561         1597           76         4.9         39           208         13.3         161           480         30.7         534           636         40.7         674           161         10.3         189           1811         1806           39         2.2         40           17         0.9         10           90         5.0         89           656         36.2         650           1009         55.7         1017           1834         1834	n         %         n         %           1561         1597	n         %         n         %         n           1561         1597         1487           76         4.9         39         2.4         78           208         13.3         161         10.1         209           480         30.7         534         33.4         468           636         40.7         674         42.2         560           161         10.3         189         11.8         172           1811         1806         1572           39         2.2         40         2.2         28           17         0.9         10         0.6         9           90         5.0         89         4.9         75           656         36.2         650         36.0         583           1009         55.7         1017         56.3         877           1834         1834         1594	n         %         n         %         n         %           1561         1597         1487         1487         76         4.9         39         2.4         78         5.2           208         13.3         161         10.1         209         14.1           480         30.7         534         33.4         468         31.5           636         40.7         674         42.2         560         37.7           161         10.3         189         11.8         172         11.6           1811         1806         1572           39         2.2         40         2.2         28         1.8           17         0.9         10         0.6         9         0.6           90         5.0         89         4.9         75         4.8           656         36.2         650         36.0         583         37.1           1009         55.7         1017         56.3         877         55.8           1834         1834         1594	n         %         n         %         n         %         n           1561         1597         1487         1671           76         4.9         39         2.4         78         5.2         37           208         13.3         161         10.1         209         14.1         160           480         30.7         534         33.4         468         31.5         546           636         40.7         674         42.2         560         37.7         750           161         10.3         189         11.8         172         11.6         178           1811         1806         1572         2045           39         2.2         40         2.2         28         1.8         51           17         0.9         10         0.6         9         0.6         18           90         5.0         89         4.9         75         4.8         104           656         36.2         650         36.0         583         37.1         723           1009         55.7         1017         56.3         877         55.8         1149

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of parental assessment and physical activity (N = 3668)

Note. PE = Physical Education, DK = dont know, PA = Physical Activity.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219544.t002

Insufficiently active

fathers (28.8%), and the same applies to Spanish parents (40.4%) with respect to Portuguese ones (22.6%).

1180 64.3 1123

Table 2 presents basic descriptive statistics for the parental assessment of past experience as PE student, parents' support of PE and Parents' PA. Mothers significantly reported better past experiences as PE student than fathers ( $\chi^2 = 23.70$ , p < .01) and the same occurred for Portuguese parents with respect to Spanish ones ( $\chi^2 = 23.70$ , p < .01). When analysing parents' support of PE no differences were found neither between mothers and fathers ( $\chi^2 = 1.89$ , p = .76) nor between nationalities ( $\chi^2 = 4.14$ , p = .39). With regard to parents' PA, no differences were observed between mothers and fathers ( $\chi^2 = 3.79$ , p = .05) but Spanish parents were more active than Portuguese ones ( $\chi^2 = 86.30$ , p < .01).

The multivariate binary logistic regression analysis yielded a final model that included only the main effects of past experience as PE student and age ( $\underline{\text{Table 3}}$ ). As the past experience as PE student deteriorated and as age increased, there was an increase in the probability that parents evaluate PE as deserving a less important status than the one it currently has in their children's curriculum (p<0.01). Neither main effect nor confounding was detected for parents' PA level so this variable was not retained in the final model.

Table 3. Logistic regression model to predict the parental assessment of physical education in the curriculum. (N=3068).

Variables Constant Experience as a PE student		В	<b>Error</b> 0.28	OR	95% CI	Wald 35.33 34.43	<i>p</i> <0.001 <0.001
		-1.65		0.19			
	Very bad	0.80	0.23	2.23	[1.43; 3.49]	12.35	< 0.001
	Bad	0.57	0.16	1.77	[1.30; 2.41]	12.96	< 0.001
	Not bad or good	0.72	0.13	2.04	[1.57; 2.66]	28.58	< 0.001
	Good	0.41	0.13	1.51	[1.17; 1.95]	10.06	0.002
Age		0.02	0.01	1.02	[1.01; 1.03]	11.84	0.001

Note. PE = Physical Education; OR = Odds Ratio; CI = Confidence Interval to Odds Ratio.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219544.t003



Finally, when evaluating the proposed regression model, a coefficient of determination of 0.02 was obtained and 58.1% of the parents were classified correctly, with a sensitivity of 14.7% and a specificity of 90.9%. As regards goodness of fit, the Hosmer-Lemeshow test ( $\chi^2 = 10.22$ ; p = 0.250) indicates that the model presents an acceptable internal validity.

## **Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to analyse the relationship between parents' past experience as PE students with the importance they give to PE in the school curriculum. The study results suggest that past experience as PE students seems to partially model the value judgements that parents make later in life regarding the importance of the subject for their children.

Previous studies have identified certain past specific negative experiences (i.e., being the last one chosen for a team) that could lead to the adoption of negative beliefs towards PA and to low levels of participation during adulthood [40,41]. Although this research has adopted a different methodological strategy, based on a global evaluation of parents' past experience as PE students to establish the necessary balance between positive and negative experiences, the results obtained agree with those previously observed, whereby it could be stated that the negative experiences related to PE could determine both their value judgements and the behaviour they adopt in later life stages.

The social support that PE receives has been considered key when defending this discipline, seen as an essential part of the school curriculum, from the reduction plans that have occasionally been suggested, either for financial reasons, or by reducing its importance in comparison to other subjects [47]. When analysing PE's social support among the parents participating in this study, regardless of sex or nationality, approximately 92% consider that PE should be compulsory. This percentage is in keeping with the values reported in existing literature [39,48,49] that indicates that a majority of parents support the need for PE at school. On the other hand, from the results obtained it is worth highlighting that about 40% of those parents who think PE should be maintained as a compulsory subject would reduce its importance in the curriculum, making it non-assessable. This data indicates the need for further studies to deeply analyse the influence of the different PE evaluation practices [50] on the evaluation of parents' past experience as students of the subject, for it is possible that an important part of the negative experiences related to PE have to do specifically with the evaluation procedures used. Likewise, it may be suggested that schools should work on raising the awareness of all the agents belonging to the education community regarding the importance of evaluation as an intrinsic part of PE as it provides information not only about student development, learning and performance but also about the quality of the instruction and programs [51, 52]. Focusing evaluation on progressing towards individual self-established goals regarding healthier lifestyles and the promotion of self-directed learning with regard to the prescription of physical activity may prove useful strategies, for in addition to directly involving the students, these goals and advances could be shared with the rest of the community agents [53].

The influence detected for the age variable, where its increase results in an increase of the probability that parents evaluate PE as deserving a lower status than the one it currently enjoys in the curriculum, is an indication that the reputation of PE improved with time, maybe as a result of the huge effort made in recent decades to highlight the major specific contribution that PE can bring to society [54,55] and, more recently, by the promotion of quality PE classes [56]. The absence of differences with regard to sex or nationality in the evaluation of the importance of PE seems to reveal the international nature of this effort [57] as well as placing emphasis on the promotion of equal access and regular participation [58].



It could be hypothesized that active people would tend to be more sensitive to the importance of PE but the multiple regression analysis showed that Parents' PA level is not a predictor of Parents' support of PE in the school curriculum and does not act as a confounding variable nor a modifier of their past experiences as PE student. This finding emphasizes that support for PE and PA are very different constructs. While the main aim of PE in the school curriculum is to develop motor competence and previous literature indicates that motor competence is positively associated with physical activity [59], this relationship seems to be widely unknown for the parents in Spain and Portugal in the light of the present results. As it has been point out, parents did not always perceive PE as valuable in PA promotion [36] but gaining parents support can be considered as a key challenge by PE teachers to ensure consistency of messages between home and school [37]. To increase parents' awareness of the synergistic relationships among physical education, motor competence, perceived motor competence, physical activity, health-related physical fitness, and obesity, would be an advisable way to gain that support.

In spite of the evidence that this research provides on the influence that the experience provided by PE classes during early stages in life has on the value judgements shown towards the subject during adulthood, certain limitations that could affect the consistency of the results shown here have to be acknowledged. First of all, it needs to be emphasised that while parents were directed to reflect on their experience considering a range of aspects, as this was a single item in the survey, the results cannot draw any inferences about the sources of parents' values or the balance of positive and negative influences. Secondly, the sample was selected through an intentional non-probabilistic sampling whereby, in spite of the fact that its size is large and that care was taken to choose schools from neighbourhoods with different socio-economic status, the possibility of bias cannot be ruled out. Thirdly, the lack of consideration of family structure and inclusion of two parent families only represents a major limitation and, although two parent family are the most common family arrangement in Spain and Portugal, some valuable data could have been excluded due to these methodological weaknesses. And, fourthly when analysing the quality of the proposed regression model, the low coefficient of determination observed indicates a limited explanatory power, leaving a wide margin for the incorporation of other variables (e.g. PE program contents, ranked comparison with other subjects, perceived contribution of PE toward children's education, perceived learning in PE)[36,60] that could increase the capacity to explain parents' evaluation of the importance of PE in the school curriculum and, above all, to improve sensitivity, which is the model's main flaw.

As a conclusion, parents generally value PE but their belief that it should be compulsory and an assessable part of the curriculum is varied and associated with their own experiences and knowledge. When implementing a CSPAP, school for parents interventions could be useful to increase parents awareness of current standards for quality PE and the role that PE can play in the promotion of physically active lifestyles. Future research should explore if this kind of interventions could reverse parents' lack of knowledge or misconceptions and bad past experiences.

## **Supporting information**

**S1** Dataset. The data used in the analysis. (SAV)

S1 Supporting Information. Spanish questionnaire. (PDF)

**S2 Supporting Information. Portuguese questionnaire.** (PDF)



**S3 Supporting Information. English questionnaire.** (PDF)

## **Acknowledgments**

We would like to thank the REAFES network and the participating schools.

## **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: João Martins, Miguel Ángel González-Valeiro.

Data curation: Joaquín Lago-Ballesteros.

Formal analysis: Joaquín Lago-Ballesteros.

**Methodology:** María A. Fernández-Villarino.

Supervision: João Martins, Miguel Ángel González-Valeiro.

Writing - original draft: Joaquín Lago-Ballesteros, María A. Fernández-Villarino.

## References

- Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, Ross DA, Afifi R, Allen NB et al. Our future: a Lancet commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. Lancet 2016; 387: 2423–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736 (16)00579-1 PMID: 27174304
- Heidenreich PA, Trogdon JG, Khavjou OA, Butler J, Dracup K, Ezekowitz MD et al. Forecasting the future of cardiovascular disease in the United States. A policy statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2011; 123: 933–44. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0b013e31820a55f5 PMID: 21262990
- Saydah S, Bullard KM, Imperatore G, Geiss L, Gregg EW. Cardiometabolic risk factors among US adolescents and young adults and risk of early mortality. *Pediatrics* 2013; 131: e679–86. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2012-2583 PMID: 23420920
- 4. Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, Thomson B, Graetz N, Margono C, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* 2014; 384(9945): 766–781. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60460-8 PMID: 24880830
- Han JC, Lawlor DA, Kimm SYS. Chilhood obesity. Lancet 2010; 375: 1737–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(10)60171-7 PMID: 20451244
- Reed DB, Patterson PJ, Wasserman N. Obesity in rural youth: looking beyond nutrition and physical activity. J Nutr Educ Behav 2011; 43(5): 401–08. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2010.12.005 PMID: 21906552
- Affenito SG, Franko DL, Striegel-Moore RH, Thompson D. Behavioral determinants of obesity: Research findings and policy implications. J Obes 2012; Article ID 150732. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/150732 PMID: 22957214
- Moreno LA, Rodriguez G. Dietary risk factors for development of childhood obesity. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2007; 10: 336–41. https://doi.org/10.1097/MCO.0b013e3280a94f59 PMID: 17414504
- Marshall SJ, Biddle SJ, Gorely T, Cameron N, Murdey I. Relationships between media use, body fatness and physical activity in children and youth: a meta-analysis. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2004; 28: 1238–46. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0802706 PMID: 15314635
- Jimenez-Pavon D, Kelly J, Reilly JJ. Associations between objectively measured habitual physical activity and adiposity in children and adolescents: systematic review. *Int J Pediatr Obes* 2010; 10: 3–18. https://doi.org/10.3109/17477160903067601
- Gonzalez-Suarez C, Worley A, Grimmer-Somers K, Dones V. School-based interventions on childhood obesity. A meta-analysis. Am J Prev Med 2009; 37(5): 418–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2009. 07.012 PMID: 19840696
- Sallis JF, Owen N, Fisher EB. Ecological models of health behavior. In: Glanz K, Rimer BK, Viswanath K, editors. Health behavior and health education. Theory, research and practice. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass; 2008. pp. 465–85.



- Murray NG, Low BJ, Hollis C, Cross AW, Davis SM. Coordinated school health programs and academic achievement: A systematic review of the literature. J Sch Health 2007; 77: 589–600. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1746-1561.2007.00238.x PMID: 17970862
- Institute of Medicine. Educating the student body: Taking physical activity and physical education to school. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2013.
- Kelder SH, Karp GG, Scruggs PW, Brown H. Setting the stage: coordinated approaches to school health and physical education. J Teach Phys Educ 2014; 33: 440–48. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.2014-0087">https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.2014-0087</a>
- 16. National Association for Sport and Physical Education. Comprehensive school physical activity programs [position statement]. Reston, VA: National Association for Sport and Physical Education; 2008. Available from https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED541610.pdf Last accessed January 27, 2019.
- Carson RL, Castelli DM, Beighle A, Erwin H. School-based physical activity promotion: a conceptual framework for research and practice. Child Obes 2014; 10(2): 100–6. https://doi.org/10.1089/chi.2013. 0134 PMID: 24655311
- **18.** Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Comprehensive school physical activity programs: A guide for schools. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2013.
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. World-wide survey of school physical education: Final report 2013. France: UNESCO; 2014.
- National Association for Sport and Physical Education. NASPE Resource Brief: Quality Physical Education. Reston, VA: NASPE; 2016.
- 21. EACEA/Eurydice. Key data on education in Europe 2012. Brussels: Eurydice; 2012.
- Castelli D, Beighle A. Physical education teacher as the school activity director. J Phys Educ Rec Dance 2007; 78: 25–29. https://doi.org/10.1080/07303084.2007.10598020
- 23. Deslatte K, Carson RL. Identifying common characteristics of comprehensive school physical activity programs in Louisiana. Phys Educ 2014; 71: 610–34.
- 24. Morgan PJ, Young MD, Barnes AT, Eather N, Pollock ER, Lubans DR. Engaging fathers to increase physical activity in girls: The "Dads and Daughters Exercising and Empowered" (DADED) Randomized Controlled Trial. Ann Behav Med 2019; 53: 39–52. https://doi.org/10.1093/abm/kay015 PMID: 29648571
- 25. Taylor WC, Baranowski T, Sallis JF. Family determinants of childhood physical activity: a social cognitive model. In: Dishman RK, editor. Advances in exercise adherence. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 1994. pp. 319–42.
- Lee SM, Nehiser A, Strouse D, Das B, Michael S, Huhman M. Correlates of children and parents being physically active together. J Phys Act Health 2010; 7: 776–83. PMID: 21088309
- Waters E, de Silva-Sanigorski A, Hall BJ, Brown T, Campbell KJ, Gao Y et al. (2011) Interventions for preventing obesity in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011; 12: CD001871. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001871.pub3">https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001871.pub3</a>
- **28.** Baranowski T. Families and health actions. In: Gochman DS, editor. Handbook of health behavior research I: personal and social determinants. New York: Plenum Press; 1997. pp. 179–206.
- **29.** Edwardson C, Gorely T. Parental influences on different types and intensities of physical activity in youth: A systematic review. *Psychol Sport Exerc* 2010; 11: 522–35.
- Gustafson S, Rhodes R. Parental correlates of physical activity in children and early adolescents.
   Sports Med 2006; 36(1): 79–97. https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200636010-00006 PMID: 16445312
- Trost SG, Loprinzi PD. Parental influences on physical activity behavior in children and adolescents: A brief review. Am J Lifestyle Med 2011; 5(2): 171–81. https://doi.org/10.1177/1559827610387236
- Sallis JF, Prochaska JJ, Taylor WC. (2000). A review of correlates of physical activity of children and adolescents. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2000; 32(5): 963–75. PMID: 10795788
- Van der Horst K, Paw MJ, Twisk JW, Van Mechelen W. A brief review on correlates of physical activity and sedentariness in youth. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007; 39: 1241–50. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0b013e318059bf35">https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0b013e318059bf35</a> PMID: 17762356
- 34. Trost SG, Sallis JF, Pate RR, Freedson PS, Taylor WC, Dowda M. Evaluating a model of parental influence on youth physical activity. Am J Prev Med 2003; 25(4), 277–82. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797(03)00217-4">https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797(03)00217-4</a> PMID: 14580627
- Sanz-Arazuri E, Ponce-de-León-Elizondo A, Valdemoros-San-Emeterio MA. Parental predictors of physical inactivity in Spanish adolescents. J Sports Sci Med 2012; 11(1): 95–101. PMID: 24149125
- **36.** Tannehill D, Romar JE, O'Sullivan M, England K, Rosemberg D. Attitudes toward physical education: their impact on how physical education teachers make sense of their work. *J Teach Phys Educ* 1994; 13(4): 406–20.



- Griffin TL, Clarke JL, Lancashire ER, Pallan MJ, Passmore S, Adab P. Teacher experiences of delivering an obesity prevention programme (The Waves study intervention) in a primary school setting. Health Educ J 2015; 74(6): 655–667. https://doi.org/10.1177/0017896914556907
- Hesketh K, Waters E, Green J, Salmon L, Williams J. Healthy eating, activity and obesity prevention: a qualitative study of parent and child perceptions in Australia. *Health Prom Int* 2005; 20(1): 19–26. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/dah503 PMID: 15668217
- 39. Carreiro da Costa F, Marques A. Promoting active and healthy lifestyles at school: Views of students, teachers, and parents in Portugal. In: Hardman K, Green K, editors. Contemporary issues in Physical Education: International Perspectives. Auckland: Meyer & Meyer Sport; 2011. pp. 249–268.
- Cardinal BJ, Yan Z, Cardinal MK. Negative experiences in physical education and sport: How much do they affect physical activity participation later in life? J Phys Educ Recreat Dance 2013; 84(3): 49–53. https://doi.org/10.1080/07303084.2013.767736
- Strean WB. (2009). Remembering instructors: play, pain and pedagogy. Qual Res Sport Exerc 2009; 1 (3): 210–20. https://doi.org/10.1080/19398440903192290
- **42.** Harriss DJ, Atkinson G. Ethical standards in sport and exercise science research. *Int J Sports Med* 2015; 36: 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1384545
- 43. Marques A, Martins J, Diniz J, Ramos M, Yazigi F, Onofre M, et al. The correlates of meeting physical activity recommendations: A population-based cross-sectional study. Eur J Sport Sci 2014; 14(sup1): S462–S470.
- **44.** Marques A, Martins J, Ramos M, Yazigi F, Carreiro da Costa F. Perception and reality–Portuguese adults' awareness of active lifestyle. *Eur J Sports Sci* 2014; 14(5): 468–474.
- World Health Organization. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. Geneva: Author; 2010.
- Kleinbaum D, Klein M. Logistic regression. A self learning text (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Springer; 2010.
- Le Masurier G, Corbin CB. Top 10 reasons for quality physical education. J Phys Educ Recreat Dance. 2006; 77(6): 44–53.
- 48. Harvard School of Public Health. Obesity as a public health issue: A look at solutions. Boston: Author; 2003.
- National Association for Sport and Physical Education. Parents' views of children's health and fitness: A summary of results. Reston, VA: Author; 2003.
- **50.** López-Pastor VM, Kirk D, Lorente-Catalán E, MacPhall A, Macdonald D. Alternative assessment in physical education: a review of international literature. *Sport Educ Soc* 2013; 18(1): 57–76.
- Collier D. Increasing the value of physical education: The role of assessment. J Phys Educ Recreat Dance 2011; 82(7):38–41. https://doi.org/10.1080/07303084.2011.10598656
- Sundaresan N, Dashoush N, Shangraw R. Now that we're "well rounded," let's commit to Quality Physical Education assessment. J Phys Educ Recreat Dance 2017; 88(8):35–38. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/07303084.2017.1356770">https://doi.org/10.1080/07303084.2017.1356770</a>
- **53.** Ballinger DA, Deeney TA. Physical educators as teachers of literacy. *J Phys Educ Recreat Dance* 2006; 77(5):18–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/07303084.2006.10597873
- Bailey R, Armour K, Kirk D, Jess M, Pickup I, Sandford R, et al. The educational benefits claimed for physical education and school sport: and academic review. Res Pap Educ 2009; 24(1): 1–27. https:// doi.org/10.1080/02671520701809817
- Lundvall S, Meckbach J. For whom and to what end? The challenges of the subject physical education and health seen through various perspectives. Sport Sci Rev. 2010; 19(3–4): 63–75. <a href="https://doi.org/10.2478/v10237-011-0017-8">https://doi.org/10.2478/v10237-011-0017-8</a>
- **56.** United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. Quality physical education. Guidelines for policy-makers. Paris: Author; 2015.
- **57.** United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. World-wide survey of school physical education-Final report 2013. París: Author; 2014.
- Kirk D. Empowering girls and women through physical education and sport-Advocacy brief. Bangkok: UNESCO; 2012.
- 59. Robinson LE, Stodden DF, Barnett LM, Lopes VP, Logan SW, Rodrigues LP et al. Motor competence and its effect on positive developmental trajectories of health. Sports Med 2015; 45(9): 1273–84. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-015-0351-6 PMID: 26201678
- **60.** Graham G. Children's and adults' perceptions of Elementary School Physical Education. *Element Sch J* 2008; 108(3): 241–9.